MEGEIVED CENTRAL FAX GENTER

FEB 1 3 2006

Docket No.: 21581-00271-US

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Yoshiki Nakagawa et al.

Application No.: 09/870,397

Confirmation No.: 8066

Filed: May 31, 2001

Art Unit: 1712

For: FUNCTIONAL GROUPS-TERMINATED

Examiner: M. G. Moore

VINYL POLYMERS

REQUEST TO WITHDRAW NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

It is hereby requested that the Notice of Abandonment be withdrawn since a response to the Office Action dated May 18, 2005 was filed on August 3, 2005. Attached is a copy of the Response along with the dated Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 22-0185, under Order No. 21581-00271-US from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: February 13, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Burlon A. Amerhick

Registration No.: 24,852

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP Correspondence Customer Number: 30678

Attorney for Applicant

Notice of Abandanment

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vigolia 223 Us-1450
www.ukpta.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 09/870,397	05/31/2001	Yoshiki Nakagawa	21581/0271	8066
•	on 02/06/2006		EXAMINER	
Connoily Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP			MOORE, MARGARET G	
Suite 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1990 M Street,	N.W. r: 20036-3425		1712	
Washington, DC 20036-3425			DATE MATERIN 02/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		NAKAGAWA ET AL	
Notice of Abandonment	09/870,397 Examiner	Art Unit	
	Margaret G. Moore	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addres	18-
This application is abandoned in view of:			1
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (Including a total extension of time of the office of the period of the office of the office office of the office office of the office office office of the office of the office office of the office office of the office office of the office o	of month(s)) which expires not constitute a proper reply tion consists only of: (1) a timeled Notice of Appeal (with appear CER 1 114).	ed on under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the f y filed amendment which places al fee); or (3) a timely filed Req	inal rejection. the uest for
Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with the continued (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not continued rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See	stitute a proper reply, or a bona	fide attempt at a proper reply. I	o dia non-
(d) No reply has been received.			
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTO (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, to the statutor of the statutor (PTOL-85). 	was received on (with a y period for payment of the issu	Codificate of Mailing or Trans	mission dated
	ince of \$ is due.	-4 by 27 CER 1 19/41 is \$	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, it require	and at OLK 1910/01/19 A	
(c) 🔲 The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, ha	s not been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as a Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	equired by, and within the three	e-month period set in, the Notic	e o r), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.			
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed b			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inte	erference rendered on a claims.	nd because the period for seek	ing court review
7. The reason(s) below:	•		
		Margaret G. Mooi Printary Examiner Art Unit: 1712	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to w	ithdraw the holding of abandonmen	nt onder 37 CFR 1.181, should be p	promptly filed to
minimize any negative effects on patent term.		Part of Pape	er No. 20060131